

A NOTE ON TERMINATION OF FLIPS

VALERY ALEXEEV

The purpose of this short note is to discuss the following

Key Lemma 0.1. *Let*

$$(Y^0, B^0) \dashrightarrow (Y^1, B^1) \dashrightarrow (Y^2, B^2) \dashrightarrow \dots$$

be a sequence of birational transformations such that

- (1) *Each $(Y^n, B^n = \sum_{i=1}^N b_i^n B_i^n)$ is a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal pair with $0 < b_i^n < 1$.*
- (2) *Each*

$$Y^n \xrightarrow{\psi_{n+1}^-} W^{n+1} \xleftarrow{\psi_{n+1}^+} Y^{n+1}$$

is a flip with respect to the divisor B^n .

- (3) *B_i^n is a birational transform of B_i^0 ;*
- (4) *$B^{n+1} \leq B^n$, i.e. $b_i^{n+1} \leq b_i^n$ for all n and i .*

Fix a real number $s \in [0, 1)$. Then a valuation v with the center $C(v, Y^{n+1})$ in the flipped locus and discrepancy

$$a(v; Y^{n+1}, B^n) = 1 - s \quad \text{or} \quad a(v; Y^{n+1}, B^{n+1}) = 1 - s$$

cannot appear infinitely many times.

As explained in [Kaw03], termination of 4-dimensional klt flips follows from this Lemma by using backtracking and crepant descent, see Section 2 below. We were unable to prove Key Lemma in full generality. Here, we establish it if one of the following additional conditions holds:

- (1) either $\inf b_i^n > 0$,
- (2) or $s \neq 0$.

In particular, this provides a new proof for termination of terminal (and canonical) 4-dimensional pairs with constant B^n , which is the main result of [Fuj04]; it also gives an effective bound on the number of (1, 2)- and (2, 2)-flips.

We use the customary notations. In particular, for a discrete rank 1 valuation v of the function field of Y , $a(v; Y, B)$ or simply a_v denotes the discrepancy (not the log discrepancy!) of v with respect to the \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor $K_Y + B$. The center of a valuation v on a variety W will be denoted by $C(v, W)$. For a flip

$$Y^- \xrightarrow{\psi^-} W \xleftarrow{\psi^+} Y^+$$

the set $\text{Exc } \psi^-$ is called the flipping locus and $\text{Exc } \psi^+$ the flipped locus.

For a complex projective variety D , we denote by $\rho(D)$ the dimension of subspace of $H_{2(\dim D - 1)}(D, \mathbb{R})$ generated by algebraic cycles. $\nu : \tilde{D} \rightarrow D$ will denote the normalization of D .

Whenever two varieties are isomorphic in codimension 1, we may denote birational transforms of divisors by the same letters.

Date: June 8, 2004.

I thank Y.Kawamata for pointing out an error in an earlier over-optimistic version of this note.

1. CREATIVE COUNTING

Our *difficulty* will be a real number $d_{s,f}(W; Y, B)$ which will depend on

- (1) a real number $s \in [0, 1)$,
- (2) a normal variety W on which the centers of valuations will be considered,
- (3) a terminal pair $(Y, B = \sum b_i B_i)$ such that $0 < b_i < 1$, and such that Y and W are isomorphic in codimension 1. Discrepancies will be computed with respect to the divisor $K_Y + B$,
- (4) a decreasing function $f : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying two conditions:
 - (a) $f(x) > 0$ for $x < 1 - s$ and $f(x) = 0$ for $x \geq 1$.
 - (b) Whenever $0 < \sum m_i b_i < 1$ for some $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, one has

$$f(1 - \sum m_i b_i) - \sum m_i f(1 - b_i) \geq 0.$$

For example, one can take $f(x) = 1 - x$ for $x < 1 - s$ and $f(x) = 0$ for $x \geq 1 - s$. We will define another function $g : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ by the formula

$$g(b) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(k(1 - b))$$

It easily follows that there are only finitely many nonzero terms in this sum and that g is an increasing function. The meaning of these conditions will be clear from the proof of the next two lemmas.

Let $\nu : \coprod \tilde{B}_i \rightarrow \cup B_i$ be the normalization of the divisor $\text{Supp } B$. Then the preimage $\tilde{C} = \nu^{-1}(C)$ splits into the union of irreducible components with $\tilde{C}_{i,\alpha} \subset \tilde{B}_i$.

Definition 1.1.

$$\begin{aligned} d_{s,f}(W; Y, B) = & \sum g(b_i) \rho(\tilde{B}_i) + \sum_{v; \text{codim } C(v,W) > 2} f(a_v) + \\ & + \sum_{\text{irr. } C \subset W; \text{codim } C = 2} \left[\sum_{v; C(v,W) = C} f(a_v) - \sum_{\tilde{C}_{i,\alpha}} g(b_i) \right] \end{aligned}$$

We set $d_{s,f}(Y, B) := d_{s,f}(Y; Y, B)$.

Remark 1.2. For $f(x) = \max(1 - x, 0)$ this definition is a version of Shokurov's "stringy" invariant ρ^2 in [Sho03] except that we take into account higher "echos" (which is necessary).

Lemma 1.3. $d_{s,f}(W; Y, B)$ is well defined, i.e. only finitely many summands are nonzero.

Proof. Let C be a codimension-2 subvariety of Y whose generic point lies in $B \setminus \text{Sing } B$, namely on a component B_i . The only possible valuations with $C(v, Y) = C$ and $a(v; Y, B) < 1$ are obtained as follows. The divisor E_1 corresponds to the blowup of C (minus the singularities), E_2 to the blowup the intersection of E_1 with the strict preimage of B_i , E_3 to the blowup the intersection of E_2 with the strict preimage of B_i etc.

We will call E_k the k -th echo of B_i along C . The discrepancy of the corresponding valuation is $a_v = k(1 - b_i)$. There are infinitely many codimension-2 subvarieties C of $B \setminus \text{Sing } B$ but according to our definition of $g(b)$ the corresponding summand in $d_{s,f}$ is zero.

It is well known that there are only finitely many non-echo valuations of a terminal pair (Y, B) with $a_v < 1$. This proves that $d_{s,f}(Y; Y, B)$ is well-defined. Finally, W and Y differ in finitely many codimension-2 subvarieties, so $d_{s,f}(W; Y, B)$ is also well-defined. \square

Lemma 1.4. $d_{s,f}(Y, B) \geq 0$.

Proof. Clearly, $\sum g(b_i)\rho(\tilde{B}_i) \geq 0$, so we only need to prove that the contributions from codimension-2 subvarieties are nonnegative. This is a computation that can be done assuming that B_i 's are curves on a nonsingular surface Y , intersecting at a point C . Moreover, we can and will treat analytic branches of B_i 's as different divisors.

Let b_1 be a maximal coefficient among b_i . Note that $b_i < 1/2$ for $i > 1$ because the pair (Y, B) is terminal. Therefore, for $i > 1$ one has $g(b_i) = f(1 - b_i)$. The discrepancy of the exceptional divisor E_1 of the first blowup is $1 - \sum m_i b_i$. Inductively, the discrepancy of the exceptional divisor E_k obtained by blowing up the intersection of E_{k-1} and the strict transform of B_1 is $\leq 1 - \sum m_i b_i + (k-1)(1 - b_1)$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{v; C(v,W)=C} f(a_v) - \sum_{\tilde{C}_{i,\alpha}} g(b_i) \geq \\ & \geq f(1 - \sum m_i b_i) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(1 - kb_1 - \sum m_i b_i) - \sum m_i g(b_i) = \\ & = f(1 - \sum m_i b_i) - \sum m_i f(1 - b_i) + \\ & + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} f\left(1 - \sum m_i b_i + (k-1)(1 - b_1)\right) - f(k(1 - b_1)) \end{aligned}$$

The first half of the last expression is nonnegative by our condition (4b), and the second half is nonnegative because f is decreasing. \square

Lemma 1.5. *Suppose $\psi : Y \rightarrow W$ is a birational morphism which is an isomorphism in codimension 1. Then*

$$d_{s,f}(Y; Y, B) = d_{s,f}(W; Y, B)$$

Proof. The expression $\sum g(b_i)\rho(\tilde{B}_i)$ drops by $\sum_{\tilde{C}_{i,\alpha}} g(b_i)$, where the sum goes over codimension-2 subvarieties of Y such that $\text{codim } \psi(C) > 2$. This is true because on a normal variety exceptional divisors are linearly independent in homology. The last part of $d_{s,f}$ increases by the same amount. So they cancel out. \square

For the next statement, we use the setup of Key Lemma.

Lemma 1.6. (1) $d_{s,f}(Y^n, B^n) \geq d_{s,f}(Y^{n+1}, B^n)$ and the strict inequality holds if there exists a valuation with the center $C(v, Y^{n+1})$ in the flipped locus and $a(v; Y^{n+1}, B^n) = 1 - s$.

- (2) *There exists n_0 such that for $n \geq n_0$, $d_{s,f}(Y^{n+1}, B^n) \geq d_{s,f}(Y^{n+1}, B^{n+1})$ and the strict inequality holds if there exists a valuation with the center $C(v, Y^{n+1})$ in the flipped locus and $a(v; Y^{n+1}, B^{n+1}) = 1 - s$.*
- (3) *If there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $f(x) \geq \epsilon$ for all $x < 1 - s$ and $f(a) = 0$ for $x \geq 1 - s$ then Key Lemma follows.*

Proof. (1) By the last lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{s,f}(Y^n, B^n) &= d_{s,f}(W^{n+1}; Y^n, B^n) \\ d_{s,f}(Y^{n+1}, B^n) &= d_{s,f}(W^{n+1}; Y^{n+1}, B^n) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, one has

$$d_{s,f}(W^{n+1}; Y^n, B^n) \geq d_{s,f}(W^{n+1}; Y^{n+1}, B^n)$$

because $a(v; Y^n, B^n) \leq a(v; Y^{n+1}, B^n)$ by the basic property of flips. If there is a valuation with $a = 1 - s$ then one of the terms $f(a_v)$ in $d_{s,f}$ disappears and the inequality becomes strict.

(2) follows similarly because $a(v; Y^{n+1}, B^{n+1}) \leq a(v; Y^{n+1}, B^n)$. The condition $n \geq n_0$ is needed to make sure that the same number of echos is counted in the definition of $g(b)$, i.e. that

$$k(1 - b_i^n) < 1 - s \iff k(1 - b_i^{n+1}) < 1 - s$$

(3) Indeed, every time $f(a_v)$ disappears, the difficulty decreases at least by ϵ , and this cannot happen infinitely many times since $d_{s,f}(Y^n, B^n) \geq 0$ by Lemma 1.4. \square

Corollary 1.7. *Key Lemma holds if $s \neq 0$.*

Proof. Indeed, we can take $f(x) = 1 - x$ for $x < 1 - s$, $f(x) = 0$ for $x \geq 1 - s$ and $\epsilon = s$. \square

Corollary 1.8. *Key Lemma holds if $m = \inf b_i^n > 0$.*

Proof. Indeed, for $s = 0$ we can take the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - x, & x \leq 1 - m \\ m, & 1 - m \leq x < 1 \\ 0, & x \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

and $\epsilon = m$. \square

Corollary 1.9. *The previous two corollaries hold with flipped locus replaced by flipping locus.*

Proof. Change the function $f(x)$ at one point, so that $f(s) > 0$, resp. $f(m) > 0$. \square

Corollary 1.10. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, the number of irreducible components C of*

$$\text{Sing}(\cup_{b_i \geq \epsilon} B_i^n)$$

with $\text{codim}_{Y^n} C = 2$ and their multiplicities are bounded by a function of (Y^0, B^0) .

Proof. Take $s = \epsilon$ and a function $f(x)$ which satisfies a stronger inequality than (4b) if only $b_i > \epsilon$ are considered, namely:

$$f(1 - \sum m_i b_i) - \sum m_i f(1 - b_i) \geq (\sum m_i - 1)\epsilon$$

The proof of Lemma 1.4 shows that every codimension-2 component C with $\sum m_i > 1$ contributes at least $(\sum m_i - 1)\epsilon$ to $d_{\epsilon,f}$. Therefore, the number of such components and $(\text{mult}_C(\cup_{b_i \geq \epsilon} B_i^n) - 1)$ are bounded by $d_{\epsilon,f}(Y^0, B^0)/\epsilon$. \square

2. TERMINATION OF 4-DIMENSIONAL KLT FLIPS

The following reduction argument is contained in [Kaw03]. Suppose

$$(X^0, D) \dashrightarrow (X^1, D) \dashrightarrow (X^2, D) \dashrightarrow \dots$$

is a sequence of klt flips for a fixed divisor $D = \sum d_j D_j$. Using only termination of \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal flips (known by [Fuj04]), existence of \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal flips (a recent work of Shokurov) and induction on the number $e(X, D)$ of discrepancies that are ≤ 0 , one proves that this sequence can be covered by a sequence of flips as in Key Lemma so that for some $0 = \lambda(0) < \lambda(1) < \dots$ the pair $(Y^{\lambda(n)}, B^{\lambda(n)})$ is a crepant \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminalization of (X^n, D) , possibly after truncating the sequence (cf. Backtracking Method and Crepant Descent in [K+92, Ch.6]; the method originates in [Kaw88]).

Further, one proves that after truncating the sequence the flipped locus does not contain any codimension-2 components of $\text{Sing } X^n$.

If the flipped locus contains a codimension-2 subvariety C then the same is true for one of the covering flips upstairs, and the corresponding discrepancy is $a_v = 1 - s$, $s = \sum n_j d_j$ for some $n_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since there are only finitely many numbers s of this form in the interval $[0, 1)$, Key Lemma implies that after truncation the flipped locus no longer contains any components of codimension 2.

After this point, the flipping locus also cannot contain components of codimension 2 infinitely many times since then the rank of $H_{2(\dim X^n - 2)}(X^n)$ drops.

Finally, if $\dim X^n = 4$ then every flip is of type $(2, 1)$, $(1, 2)$ or $(2, 2)$, so either the flipping or the flipped locus must contain a codimension-2 subvariety. This proves the termination.

Since we were unable to prove Key Lemma in full generality, we only have the following corollaries:

Corollary 2.1. *For $n \geq n_0$, the flipped and flipping loci of Y^n do not contain a codimension-2 subvariety $C \subset \text{Supp } D$.*

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose that 0 is not an accumulation point from below of the discrepancies of klt pairs (X, D) with d_j in a fixed set and with a fixed number $e(X, D)$ of discrepancies that are ≤ 0 . Then 4-dimensional klt flips terminate.*

REFERENCES

- [Kaw88] Y. Kawamata, *The crepant blowing-up of 3-dimensional canonical singularities and its application to the degeneration of surfaces*, Annals of Math. **127** (1988), 93–163.
- [Kaw03] Y. Kawamata, *Termination of log flips in dimension 4*, arXiv: math.AG/0302168v5 (2003), Preprint (withdrawn).
- [K+92] J. Kollár et al., *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*, Astérisque **211** (1992), 1–258.
- [Fuj04] O. Fujino, *Termination of 4-fold canonical flips*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., **40** (2004), 231–237.
- [Sho03] V.V. Shokurov, *Letters of a Birationalist, V: Termination of flips*, Preprint (2003).