

**CORRECTION TO THE PAPER
"FRACTIONAL INDICES OF LOG DEL PEZZO SURFACES"**

V. A. ALEKSEEV

In the proof of Lemma 4.1 of the paper named above⁽¹⁾ I committed an arithmetic error, because of which the entire proof of this lemma is invalid. The text must be corrected in the following way:

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a minimal resolution of singularities of the log del Pezzo surface X , and let $\rho(\tilde{X}) = k$. Then either*

- (i) *there exists a birational morphism $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_n$ and $n > N$, or*
- (ii) *for any exceptional curve C on \tilde{X} the inequality $0 > C^2 > A(k, N)$ obtains, where $A(k, N)$ is a constant depending only on k and N .*

PROOF. By Proposition 1.3 the cone of effective curves on \tilde{X} is generated by finitely many curves. From the formula $K_{\tilde{X}} = \pi^*K_X + \sum \alpha_i F_i$ it follows that the divisor $-MK_{\tilde{X}}$ is effective for some positive integer M . Let C be an irreducible reduced curve with $C^2 < 0$. We now carry out the following procedure: if $l \neq C$ is an exceptional curve of genus 1 and $C \cdot l \leq 1$, then we contract this curve. We repeat this procedure several times until we obtain a morphism $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow S$ with the following properties: S is a nonsingular surface, $C_1 = f(C)$ is a nonsingular curve and for any curve $l \neq C_1$ of genus 1 we have $C_1 \cdot l \geq 2$.

If $S = \mathbf{P}^2$ or \mathbf{F}_n , $n \leq N$, then $C_1^2 \geq -N$ and $C^2 \geq C_1^2 - k \geq -N - k$. If $C_1^2 \geq -3$, then $C^2 \geq -3 - k$.

Now suppose $S \neq \mathbf{P}^2$, \mathbf{F}_n and $C_1^2 \leq -4$. We prove that the divisor $2K_S + C_1$ is numerically effective. The cone of effective curves on the surface S is generated by finitely many curves; let $\{E_i\}$ be a minimal system of generators. If $K_S \cdot E_i \geq 0$ and $E_i \neq C_1$, then $(2K_S + C_1) \cdot E_i \geq 0$. If $K_S \cdot E_i < 0$ and $E_i \neq C_1$, then E_i is an exceptional curve of genus 1 and $(2K_S + C_1) \cdot E_i \geq 0$. Finally, $(2K_S + C_1) \cdot C_1 = 4p_\alpha(C_1) - 4 - C_1^2 \geq 0$.

Thus, the divisor $2K_S + C_1$ is numerically effective and the divisor $-MK_S =$

⁽¹⁾Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 52 (1988), no. 6, 1288–1304=Math. USSR Izv. 33 (1989), no. 3, 613–629.

$f_*(-MK_{\tilde{X}})$ is effective for some positive integer M . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} -K_S \cdot (2K_S + C_1) &\geq 0, & -K_S \cdot (2K_S + C_1) &= -2K_S^2 + 2 + C_1^2, \\ C^2 + k &\geq C_1^2 \geq 2K_S^2 - 2 \geq 2K_{\tilde{X}} - 2 = 2(10 - k) - 2 \end{aligned}$$

by Noether's formula. The lemma is proved.

Translated by B. SILVER